Case 5:17-cv-03572-JFL Document 1 Filed 08/09/17 Page 1 of 8 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			<u> </u>	***************************************			
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Dorothy Gambuzza and Joseph Gambuzza, H/W (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Monmouth County, NJ (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				DEFENDANTS Sands Bethworks Gaming. LLC, d/b/a Sands Casino Resort Bethlehem				
				NOTE: IN LAND CO	of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O DNDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	,		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Scott A. Portner, Esq./Fr 1601 Market St., Ste. 150 (215) 931-2564	eedman & Lorry, P.C.			Attorneys (If Known)				
IL BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place on "X" in C	ne Box Onbil	III CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaint		
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) □ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff □ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) P	IF DEF 1 体 I Incorporated <i>or</i> Pr of Business In ²	and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF rincipal Place			
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant			Citiz	itizen of Another State				
				en or Subject of a 💢 oreign Country	3	□ 6 □ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	Γ (Place an "X" in One Box Oi	ıly)		Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.				
CONTRACT		DRTS		ORFEITURE/PENALTRY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHERSTATERES		
□ 110 Insurance □ 120 Marine □ 130 Miller Act □ 140 Negotiable Instrument □ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ∞ Enforcement of Judgment □ 151 Medicare Act □ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits □ 160 Stockholders' Suits □ 190 Other Contract □ 195 Contract Product Liability □ 196 Franchise REAL PROPERTY □ 210 Land Condemnation □ 220 Foreclosure □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 245 Tort Product Liability □ 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR' 365 Personal Injury Product Liability 367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPER 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETITION Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	X	LABOR 10 Fair Labor Standards Act 20 Labor/Management Relations 40 Railway Labor Act 51 Family and Medical Leave Act 20 Other Labor Litigation 61 Employee Retirement Income Security Act 1 Immigration 62 Naturalization Application 63 Other Immigration 65 Other Immigration 65 Actions	422 Appeal 28 USC 158 423 Withdrawal	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitrust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	moved from 🗇 3	Remanded from C Appellate Court			erred from			
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON 28 U.S.C. Sec. 1: Brief description of ca	332 nuse:		Do not cite jurisdictional stat				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	_	IS A CLASS ACTION		cal cord on casino flo EMAND \$ In exce 50,000.00	oor. OSS of CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND	if demanded in complaint: : X Yes		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions);	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		SIGNATURE OF ATT	TORNEY,	grecord OWNU		Vita.		
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		

Case 5:17-cv-03572-JEL Document 1 DISTRICT COURT Page 2 of 8

assignment to appropriate calendar. Address of Plaintiff: 45 Suffolk Way, Marlboro, NJ 07746	e used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of		
	015		
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: Sands Casino Report Beth1 (Use Reverse Side For A			
· ·	• ,		
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation at (Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a))			
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities? RELATED CASE, IF ANY: Case Number: Judge	Yes□ NotX Date Terminated:		
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions;			
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one ye			
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior s action in this court?			
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier n	Yes No No		
terminated action in this court?	Yes□ Nö∰		
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	s case filed by the same individual?		
	Yes□ No.K		
CIVIL: (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)			
A. Federal Question Cases:	B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases:		
1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	1. □ Insurance Contract and Other Contracts		
2. □ FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury		
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation		
4. □ Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury		
5. □ Patent	5. □ Motor Vehicle Personal Injury		
6. Labor-Management Relations	6. M Other Personal Injury (Please specify) Premises		
7. □ Civil Rights	7. Products Liability Liability		
8. Habeas Corpus	8. Products Liability — Asbestos		
9. Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases		
10. □ Social Security Review Cases	(Please specify)		
11. □ All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify)			
ARBITRATION CERT (Check Appropriate Ca Counsel of record do hereby certifications)	ategory)		
I, SCOLL A. FOI LITER, ESQUITE , counsel of record do hereby certif Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the best of my knowledge and 1 \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.			
DATE: 8/9/17 Scatt Portner	85314		
Attorney-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if the	Attorney I.D.# re has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38,		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or	within one year previously terminated action in this court		
DATE: 8/9/17 Scott Portre	85314		
Attorney-at-Law CIV. 609 (5/2012)	Attorney I.D.#		

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

Telephone	FAX Numb	er	E-Mail Address				
215-931-2564	215-925-7516		sportner@freedmanlor	ry.com			
Date	Attorney-at-law		Attorney for Plaintiffs				
8/9/17	Scott A. Port		Scott Porton	h			
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not	fall into any one o	of the other tracks.	(X)			
(e) Special Management – Commonly referred to as the court. (See reverse management cases.)	s complex and that	need special or in	tense management by	()			
(d) Asbestos – Cases involve exposure to asbestos.	ring claims for pers	onal injury or pro	perty damage from	()			
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	iired to be designat	ed for arbitration	under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	()			
(b) Social Security – Cases requesting review of a decision of the Secretary of Health and Human Services denying plaintiff Social Security Benefits.							
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.							
SELECT ONE OF THE F	OLLOWING CAS	SE MANAGEME	ENT TRACKS:				
plaintiff shall complete a Ca filing the complaint and serv side of this form.) In the designation, that defendant	ase Management Trace a copy on all defe event that a defend shall, with its first a rties, a Case Manage	rack Designation Indants. (See § 1:0 lant does not agreappearance, submagement Track Des	tion Plan of this court, count Form in all civil cases at the to 3 of the plan set forth on the rece with the plaintiff regarding it to the clerk of court and sesignation Form specifying the	ime of everse g said rve on			
Sands Bethworks Gaming Sands Casino Resort Be		:	NO.				
Dorothy Bambuzza and J H/W v.	oseph Gambuzza,	:	CIVIL ACTION				

(Civ. 660) 10/02

Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan Section 1:03 - Assignment to a Management Track

- (a) The clerk of court will assign cases to tracks (a) through (d) based on the initial pleading.
- (b) In all cases not appropriate for assignment by the clerk of court to tracks (a) through (d), the plaintiff shall submit to the clerk of court and serve with the complaint on all defendants a case management track designation form specifying that the plaintiff believes the case requires Standard Management or Special Management. In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a case management track designation form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.
- (c) The court may, on its own initiative or upon the request of any party, change the track assignment of any case at any time.
- (d) Nothing in this Plan is intended to abrogate or limit a judicial officer's authority in any case pending before that judicial officer, to direct pretrial and trial proceedings that are more stringent than those of the Plan and that are designed to accomplish cost and delay reduction.
- (e) Nothing in this Plan is intended to supersede Local Civil Rules 40.1 and 72.1, or the procedure for random assignment of Habeas Corpus and Social Security cases referred to magistrate judges of the court.

SPECIAL MANAGEMENT CASE ASSIGNMENTS (See §1.02 (e) Management Track Definitions of the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan)

Special Management cases will usually include that class of cases commonly referred to as "complex litigation" as that term has been used in the Manuals for Complex Litigation. The first manual was prepared in 1969 and the Manual for Complex Litigation Second, MCL 2d was prepared in 1985. This term is intended to include cases that present unusual problems and require extraordinary treatment. See §0.1 of the first manual. Cases may require special or intense management by the court due to one or more of the following factors: (1) large number of parties; (2) large number of claims or defenses; (3) complex factual issues; (4) large volume of evidence; (5) problems locating or preserving evidence; (6) extensive discovery; (7) exceptionally long time needed to prepare for disposition; (8) decision needed within an exceptionally short time; and (9) need to decide preliminary issues before final disposition. It may include two or more related cases. Complex litigation typically includes such cases as antitrust cases; cases involving a large number of parties or an unincorporated association of large membership; cases involving requests for injunctive relief affecting the operation of large business entities; patent cases; copyright and trademark cases; common disaster cases such as those arising from aircraft crashes or marine disasters; actions brought by individual stockholders; stockholder's derivative and stockholder's representative actions; class actions or potential class actions; and other civil (and criminal) cases involving unusual multiplicity or complexity of factual issues. See §0.22 of the first Manual for Complex Litigation and Manual for Complex Litigation Second, Chapter 33.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DOROTHY GAMBUZZA and JOSEPH

GAMBUZZA, H/W

CIVIL ACTION

45 Suffolk Way

Marlboro, NJ 07746,

NO.

Plaintiffs

VS.

:

SANDS BETHWORKS GAMING, LLC, d/b/a SANDS CASINO RESORT BETHLEHEM

77 Sands Boulevard Bethlehem, PA 18015

Defendant.

COMPLAINT JURY TRIAL DEMAND

1. The Plaintiffs Dorothy and Joseph Gambuzza are husband and wife and are citizens and residents of the State of New Jersey residing at 45 Suffolk Way, Marlboro, NJ 07746.

- 2. Defendant Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, d/b/a Sands Casino Resort Bethlehem (the "Defendant") is a limited liability company organized and existing under the laws of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania with its principal place of business located at 77 Sands Boulevard, Bethlehem, PA 18015.
- 3. The jurisdiction of this Court arises under 28 U.S.C. §1332, there being diversity of citizenship between the parties and the amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, being in excess of One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00).
- 4. Venue is appropriate in this Court since a substantial part of the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this judicial district.

- 5. On September 15, 2016, and at all times pertinent to this case, the Defendant owned, operated, possessed and controlled the Sands Casino Resort Bethlehem located at 77 Sands Boulevard, Bethlehem, PA.
- 6. On September 15, 2016, the Plaintiffs Dorothy and Joseph Gambuzza were customers and business invitees at the aforedescribed Sands Casino Resort Bethlehem.
- 7. At approximately 9:00 a.m. on September 15, 2016 the Plaintiff Dorothy Gambuzza was on the casino floor walking toward the poker slot machines that she intended to play.
- 8. At the aforesaid time and place, as Mrs. Gambuzza was walking on the casino floor, she was caused to trip or slip over an electrical cord which was stretched across the casino floor, causing her to lose her balance and fall forward on her right shoulder and sustain the injuries which are the subject of this action.
- 9. The injuries sustained by the Plaintiff Dorothy Gambuzza on or about September 15, 2016, as set forth below, were caused by the carelessness and negligence of Defendant, acting through its agents, servants, workmen and employees.
- 10. Defendant, acting through its agents, servants, workmen and employees, was careless and negligent, **inter alia**, in the following manner:
- a) Stretching an electrical cord and appliances across the walking surface of the casino floor without providing adequate warnings to casino patrons;
- b) Moving the electrical cord in such a manner as to cause the Plaintiff Dorothy Gambuzza to trip or slip over the cord and lose her balance and fall to the casino floor;
- c) Failing to properly monitor the position of the cord in order to be in a position to prevent the cord from becoming a tripping or slipping hazard;
 - d) Failing to provide casino patrons with a safe walking surface;

- e) Failing to properly train and instruct its agents, servants, workmen and employees regarding the proper inspection and maintenance of the premises and the prevention of tripping or slipping hazards;
 - f) Failing to use due care under the circumstances.
- 11. By reason of the carelessness and negligence of Defendant, as aforesaid, the Plaintiff Dorothy Gambuzza was caused to sustain multiple injuries including, but not limited to, a right shoulder full-thickness rotator cuff tear, a right shoulder impingement syndrome, a type 2 superior lateral tear from anterior to posterior, a right shoulder biceps tenosynovitis and a partial subscapular tear. She has developed right shoulder acromioclavicular arthritis, she sustained other orthopedic, neurological, internal and psychological injuries; she sustained severe shock and injury to her nerves and nervous system; she has in the past required and may in the future continue to require medicines, medical care and attention; she has in the past suffered and will continue to suffer agonizing aches, pains and mental anguish; she has in the past been disabled and may in the future be disabled from performing her usual duties, occupations and avocations.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Dorothy Gambuzza claims damages of the Defendant Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, d/b/a Sands Casino Resort Bethlehem for a sum in excess of One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) in damages, together with interest and costs, and brings this action to recover same.

COUNT II - CLAIM OF PLAINTIFF JOSEPH GAMBUZZA AGAINST DEFENDANT

- 12. Plaintiffs repeat and reallege each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 11 as if fully set forth herein.
- 13. By reason of the carelessness and negligence of the Defendant, as aforesaid, and the resulting injuries suffered by the Plaintiff Dorothy Gambuzza, the Plaintiff Joseph Gambuzza

has been and will in the future be deprived of the assistance, society, companionship, comfort and consortium of his wife, the Plaintiff, Dorothy Gambuzza, to his detriment and loss.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Joseph Gambuzza demands judgment against Defendant Sands Bethworks Gaming, LLC, d/b/a Sands Casino Resort Bethlehem on this Count II, for a sum in excess of One Hundred and Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$150,000.00) in damages, together with interest and costs and brings this action to recover same.

FREEDMAN & LORRY, P.C.

BY

COTT A. PORTNER, ESQUIRE

Attorney for Plaintiffs

I.D. No. 85314

1601 Market Street, Suite 1500

Philadelphia, PA 19103

(215) 931-2564

sportner@freedmanlorry.com